CEPILOT IDAMSPORTATION

2239

Happiness Is Pets 1226 Ogden Ave. Downers Grove, IL 60515 02 NOV 25 PH 1: 14

U.S. Department of Transportation Dockets Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 400 Seventh Street S.W. Room Plaza **401** Washington, DC 20590

November 15,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 - 1129

Dear FAA,

I am very concerned in three areas of the proposed reporting rule of the FAA. My first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. If the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

My second concern is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly consider as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

My final concern is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to Police Officer for use as a police or drug dog. Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

fld om